

Family Name	Smethurst
Given Name	Edmund
Person ID	1286234
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Smethurst
Given Name	Edmund
Person ID	1286234
Title	JPA 35: North of Mosley Common
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>I am against the 1100 houses potentially proposed to be built at Mosley Common. The area known as New Manchester and the people who live in this area of natural beauty and surrounding areas would suffer greatly at the loss of essential space. We all need to breathe! The area was once used excessively for open cast cut mining and the water table is 'very high' Drainage is a real issue, the unsaturated state soon becomes a problem even with little rain and the water rises quickly. Additional building and alterations to the topography of this fragile Eco system would further increase the risk of flooding. Also, the extra strain on the roads and lack of amenities would cause additional pollution and problems. The traffic in the Ellenbrook and Worsley area is already at grid lock! Lets face it not everyone is going to use public transport in winter or choose to walk. They all get into cars just like you because its easier.</p> <p>I have lived on REDACTED TEXT for 30 years and this area should remain part of the critical infrastructure for both nature and people to live and enjoy.</p> <p>I Believe the field opposite Lower New Row should be considered for expansion of The Woodlands Cemetery as well as a place for our local nature to reside. This would retain the Greenbelt as intended by the Dame Dorothy Trust, as documented.</p>